

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,795	01/10/2005	Fabian Castro Castro	P17069US1	9966	
27045 ERICSSON II	27045 7590 05/01/2009 ERICSSON INC.		EXAMINER		
6300 LEGAC	6300 LEGACY DRIVE			KIM, HEE SOO	
M/S EVR 1-C PLANO, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1222.0, 111	.5021		2457		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/520,795		CASTRO ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	HEE SOO KIM	2457	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

HE REPLY FILED	22 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATIO	N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE

- 1. \(\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term ediplication.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>

- 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or

 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- non-allowable claim(s).

 Note: The proposed amendment(s): a) in the proposed amendment(s): a) into the entered, or b) into the entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: None.
 - Claim(s) objected to: 27-32,35,36 and 38-46.
 - Claim(s) rejected: 27-32,35,36 and 38-46.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-26.33.34 and 37.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \(\bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: _____

/ARIO ETIENNE/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457

HEE SOO KIM Examiner Art Unit: 2457 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicants argument (Pg. 12 and 13), that Wilson does not teach "means for communicating with a mapping module in the Network Element for mapping a provisioning order received from the SuM-GI Manager into a number internal operations operating on an internal data model supported by the Network Element, wherein the SuM-GI Data Model comprises at least one Object Class, selected from a group or Object Classes: Subscription(RP, SubscriptionFunction, and ServiceProviderFunction object classes." Examiner respectfully disagree. Applicant has stated in the arguments filled on May 31st, 2008 that the SuM-GI Operations operating on Object Classes of the SuM-GI Data Model are defined object classes will known to one skilled in the art (APAP: Pg. 13, ¶1). Since Wilson taught mapping between managed objects of managed subjects of managed objects of managed objects of managed objects of most mapping subject of most provided from the managed system and resources are provided from the manager. Furthermore, Examiner points out data models in telecommunication envivors utilizing object classes such as the objects claimed, were well-known in the art (See 2002/00/1327 Fg.5; WO 00/38437, Pg.3, Ln. 4-Pg. 4, Ln. 12). Examiner respectfully sixs Applicant to further amend the independent claims in order to better identify any allowable sixther.